

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/098,688	03/15/2002	Shunpei Yamazaki	SEL 308	5173
7	590 07/07/2004		EXAM	INER
COOK, ALEX, MCFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD.			CHEN, BRET P	
Suite 2850	& MEHLEK, LID.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
200 West Adams St.		1762		
Chicago, IL 60606			DATE MAILED: 07/07/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	A-disable)	- A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/098,688	YAMAZAKI ET AL.	U
	Examiner	Art Unit	:
The MAILING DATE of this communication annual	B. Chen	1762	(
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		•	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS API Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	 a timely filed amendment whi 	cation. A proper re	cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date of	-		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION.	See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:		
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note		`	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: of			OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w 			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			
0.	(9)		•

Art Unit: 1762

The Request for Reconsideration dated 6/14/04 has been considered but is not deemed persuasive.

Applicant argues that the final rejection fails to address the features of the claimed method and thus is deemed improper (p.2 lines 14-17).

The examiner disagrees. Applicant has not specifically pointed out what features have not been addressed.

Applicant next argues that Hemsath does not teach supplying a gas and heating the gas from an upstream side of a reaction chamber (p.3 first paragraph).

The examiner disagrees. This is clearly taught in columns 7-8.

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to B. Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1417. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck can be reached on (571) 272-1415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bc 7/5/04

BRET CHEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER